ONE HEALTH Knowledge-Café

Webinars Dicussions Online courses Networkings



Webinar series



1:30 PM - 3:00 PM GMT 7:15 PM NPT | 3:30 PM CET | 6:30 AM PST | 9:30 AM WA | 9:30 AM CL



29th April 2021 | Thursday

Prof Christian Munthe Professor of Practical Philosophy University of Gothenburg

SPEAKERS

is supported by

"Managing complex value conflicts in public health policy, especially in view of tensions between health aims, political aims and public acceptance/legitimacy

"Implications of COVID-19 Pandemic from One Health Perspective-with focus on Africa

Join with us to learn more about the topic



Dr Katharina Kreppel Adjunct Professor Nelson Mandela African institute of Science and Technology Tanzania

One Health Knowledge Café

- A collaborative effort of more than 11 individuals representing CIH partners and alumni
- Represents Asia, Africa, Europe, South America and North America
- Brings together the expertise and network of researchers and professionals from various disciplines, countries and expertise to enable cross learning, sharing and network building
- Monthly talks, webinars, online courses, discussions
- Supported by LMU^{CIH} through DAAD/Exceed Program, funded by BMZ

Speakers



Prof Christian Munthe

- Professor of practical philosophy,
- Conducts research and expert consultation on ethics, value and policy issues in the intersection of health, science & technology, the environment and society.
- Collaborates with researchers and practitioners from, e.g., medicine and care, environmental-, natural and technological science, economics, law and politics.
- A frequently commissioned expert by public agencies in Sweden and abroad, and a source and participant in media reporting and debates.

More info: <u>https://www.gu.se/en/about/find-staff/christianmunthe</u>

Managing complex value conflicts in public health policy, especially in view of tensions between health aims, political aims and public acceptance/legitimacy



Implication of COVID-19 in society The complexity of value conflicts in public health crisis policy

Christian Munthe University of Gothenburg Sweden

The C19 Pandemic Demonstrates

- The difficulty of holding many thoughts at once: evaluating both the severity and nature of a public health threat and the benefits and the risks/costs of public health policy response to this threat
- Need to consider a multitude of partly conflicting ways to evaluate health outcomes in both cases
- A multitude of other values than health that most people care about, and that are recognized by ethical theories
- A very complex interconnectedness of all such values, instrumentally and pragmatically

Evaluating public health threats vs. policy response

- Common psychological reaction to accept harsher responses in view of more severe threats
- But the severity of the threat says nothing about the value of a suggested response
- The response may make things even worse, or waste limited resources and capacity, or bring unjustified side-effects
- A policy may make us *feel* safer (due to social psychological mechanisms), without *making* us safer
- Evidence often lacking, but that does not in itself make any suggestion a justified precaution precaution cuts both ways!
- Large room for reasonable disagreement in spite of high affect in public debates due to uncertainty, sense of urgency, fear and worry.

How should we evaluate health outcomes (of the threat and the policy)?

- Length of life? Quality of life? Level of function? Other?
- These parameters may easily pull in opposite directions!
- Only looking at life: Counting number of deaths/saved lives or counting amount of lost/saved life? May make a huge difference!
- How balance loss per person against number of persons affected by loss?
- Most evaluation of medical procedures, clinical conditions, public health interventions consider combinations of all these factors, but this complexity has mostly vanished in the C19 policy rhetoric.
- Likewise, the evaluation of **negative health effects of suggested policy responses** have been mostly ignored or pushed into the shadow. Eg. domestic violence increases due to "stay at home"-recommendations/orders.

How should other values than health be considered and why?

- Autonomy, self-determination, liberty/freedom?
- Equal treatment and consideration?
- **Distributive values**: equality? Priority for the worse off? Other desert?
- **Economic impact** for individuals and institutions?
- **Purely political values**: social cohesion, political legitimacy, democratic representation, national independence?

The double jeopardy paradox: Groups most at risk to be more harmed by public health crisis (such as a pandemic), are often also most at risk of being harmed by policy responses to the crisis, and least empowered to voice claims and protecting their interests against authorities.

Complex interconnectedness

- Many values will instrumentally impact on others: eg. economic impact of policy may impact on the resource and capacity base of public health institutions and healthcare.
- The **importance ascribed to the values** may vary depending on how they impact on each other: Eg. we may value liberty less in the light of threats to life and limb, or social stability.
- Fundamental disagreements will not go away just because policy-makers opt for a policy
- Lack of adherence and legitimacy may seriously undermine the justification of a policy, even if it ideally looks like a very good one.
- People may respond more to other values than health-related ones, eg. a feeling that the authorities are "doing something", that policy is "consistent", etc.
- **Policy paradox**: Such pragmatics must be considered when evaluating proposed policy, but this at the same time undermines attempts to have an image of "rational health policy", and may lead to policy that does not promote health very well.

Points for Q&A session

- In a public health crisis how much should policy be guided by other considerations than health?
- What aspects of health are the most important to evaluate policy in a public health crisis, and why?

Thank you!

https://www.gu.se/en/about/find-staff/christianmunthe



FORTE:



Speakers



Dr Katharina Kreppel

- Adjunct professor, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST).
- Tanzania-based vector ecologist and epidemiologist and training coordinator for the Afrique One-ASPIRE programme, a Pan-African programme building capacity in One Health research on the African continent.
- Research interests lie in understanding the effects of a changing climate on vector borne diseases and zoonosis to further the development of adaptive One Health strategies.
- Works in close collaboration with the Ifakara Health Institute in Tanzania and the University of Glasgow, UK.



Implications of COVID-19 from a One Health perspective

Dr Katharina Kreppel Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology Tanzania

One Health Knowledge-Café 29/4/2021

The One Health Approach And Covid-19

Did You Know? One Health issues include:

- Zoonotic diseases
- Antibiotic resistance
- Food safety and security
- Vector-borne diseases
- Environmental health
- Chronic diseases
- Mental health
- Occupational health

Covid-19 context

- Awareness Reaction
- Co-infections
- Resources are finite
- > Malaria, dengue
- Dilution effect, air pollution
- Importance of NCDs
- Importance of mental health
- Risk taking, risk awareness

...And more!

www.cdc.gov/onehealth



nature > articles > article

Article Published: 26 March 2020

Identifying SARS-CoV-2 Malayan pangolins

Tommy Tsan-Yuk Lam, Na Jia, [...]Wu-Chun C

Nature 583, 282–285(2020) Cite this article

Published: 09 November 2015

A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruse shows potential for human emergence

Vineet D Menachery [⊡], Boyd L Yount Jr, Kari Debbink, Sudhakar Agnihothram, Lisa E Gralinski, Jes Plante, Rachel L Graham, Trevor Scobey, Xing-Yi Ge, Eric F Donaldson, Scott H Randell, Antonio Lanzavecchia, Wayne A Marasco, Zhengli-Li Shi & Ralph S Baric [⊡]

Nature Medicine 21, 1508–1513(2015) Cite this article

One Health Knowledge-Café 29/4/2021

Awareness and Reactions



Antimicrobial Resistance



Food Safety and Security





Clean surfaces such as

Clean surfaces such as ables with antibacterial bleach wipes. ferent chopping s and knives for beat and cooked foods.

Food Safety Health Advice To Prevent The Spread of Virus

• COVID-19 dramatically increased acute food insecurity in 2020-2021.

- As of April 2021, the World Food Programme (WFP) estimates that 296 million people in the 35 countries where it works are without sufficient food—111 million more people than in April 2020.
- Global food prices rose close to 20% in the last year (January 2020-January 2021)

The worldbank.org accessed 20/4/21

Struggle to grow

- In many LMICs, the majority of farmers are women
- Women often lack equal access to quality seeds, fertilizers, good land, credit, technical advice and new technologies
- > Limited mobility due to other **responsibilities or lockdown**
- Food safety is also jeopardised

Vector-borne diseases

Diseases: Malaria, Zika, Dengue, Tick borne disease, Flea-borne disease

Treatments:

Hydroxychloroquine – resistance? Artemisinin – partial resistance?



Misinformation: LLINs transmit Covid-19

Vaccinations are changing your DNA/tracking you/make you sick

Affects...

...Health seeking behaviour ...Reporting/Surveillance ...Interventions News & Views Published: 17 August 2020

PUBLIC HEALTH

Effects of disruption from COVID-19 on antimalarial strategies

Rashid Ansumana 🖂, Osman Sankoh & Alimuddin Zumla

Nature Medicine 26, 1334–1336(2020) Cite this article

Environmental Health

Over the past few decades, more than 60% of emerging infectious diseases affecting people originated from wildlife or livestock

Dilution effect

Most pathogens are maintained in animals with which humans normally have little contact Good habitats provide enough food and shelter for many animals

The proportion of infected animals may often be very low

Healthy ecosystems translate into resilient and healthy human societies

Biodiversity loss and ecosystem degradation

Increases contact with humans Reduced food forces animals to travel further Competition favours resilient animals with short generation time that are comfortable in human proximity (rodents, insects etc...)

Environmental Health

- The COVID-19 pandemic further highlights the **interrelations** between our natural and societal systems: societal resilience depends on a resilient environmental support system.
- **Biodiversity loss and intensive food systems** make zoonotic diseases more likely.
- Often related to **social inequalities**, environmental factors such as air quality appear to influence COVID-19 outcomes.
- Increased reliance on **single-use plastics and low oil prices** resulting from lockdowns have negative consequences.
- Lockdowns during the COVID-19 pandemic may have some direct, shortterm, positive impacts on our environment, especially in terms of emissions and air quality, although these are likely to be temporary.

Non-communicable diseases

- Co-morbidities are a risk factor for severe Covid-19
- Chronic conditions need continuous treatment
- Strained health systems cannot cope
- Environmental impacts, travel restrictions, reduced TH interventions





Mental Health



Covid-19 places extremental health risks on women

- Intensified gender inequality
- Many women have to work harder while earning even less
- Limited time, limited opportunities
- Girls out of school as a result of less income/need for help
- Increased exposure to the environment
- Little chance to avoid infection

Occupational Health

Exposure to pathogens Health care personnel **Essential workers** Farmers Subsistence farmers **Livestock keepers** Travel in crowded conditions **Preparing bushmeat** Lack of information Affected health Lack of protective clothing







What to do?

- Multitude of problems enhanced by the current epidemic
- This is our chance to tackle these issues
- The priority is to protect our most vulnerable members of society
- It is time for vulnerable populations to have a voice and use it





Upcoming Webinars

Date	Topics
27 May, 2021 1:30 PM GMT	Vaccines and Treatments for COVID-19: Progress Since 2020
24 June, 2021	Epidemiology of COVID-19 and Risk Communication Approaches

Note: Topics and schedule is subject to changes, please refer to CIH websites for the announcements and speakers

Thank You!

Webinar Recording will be available via:

www.cih.lmu.de